evidently expected that, as it was addressed to th King of Heaven, it would be intercepted in mic course and wafted to the throne of God. He wz at any rate determined that no soldier of his, whethe pagan or Christian, should wear on his shield any othe sign than that of the Cross—" the salutary trophy. But what was Constantme's policy towards th old religion? Let us look first at the explicit stat< ments of Eusebius. He says in one place* ths "the doors of idolatry were shut throughout whole Roman Empire for both laity and militar alike, and every form sacrifice was forbidden. In another passage f he says that edicts were i< sued "forbidding sacrifice to idols, the mischievou practice of divination, the putting up of woode images, observance of secret rites, and the polh tion of cities by the sanguinary combats of gladij tors." In a third passage £ he speaks of Constantine having " utterly destroyed polytheism in all it variety of foolishness/' Eusebius also tells us ths Constantine was careful to choose, whenever poj sible, Christian governors for the provinces, while h forbade those with Hellenistic, i. e., pagan, symp< thies to offer sacrifice. He also ordered that th synodal decrees of bishops should not be interfere with by the provincial authorities, for, adds Eusebiu he considered a priest of God to be more entitle to honour than a judge. The same authority e: pressly states § that Constantinople was kept pe

* DC Vita Const., iv., 23. f Ibid., c. 25. \ IAOVOV TS itatiav itoXiOtov itkdvriv K<xBeX6vro\$ (ibid... 75).

§/#</..€.